



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/667,072	09/21/2000	Jin Soo Lee	P-128	9016

37803 7590 03/27/2007  
SIDLEY AUSTIN BROWN & WOOD LLP  
555 CALIFORNIA STREET  
SUITE 2000  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104-1715

EXAMINER
----------

TRAN, PHILIP B

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2155

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

03/27/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/667,072	LEE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Philip B. Tran	2155	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Philip B. Tran - Primary Examiner. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Ferenc Pazmandi (L0078). (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 22 March 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 13 and 21.

Identification of prior art discussed: Gerace (U.S. Pat. No. 5,991,735) and Sezn et al (U.S. Pat. No. 6,236,395).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed about claim 13 (102 Rejection) and claim 21 (103 Rejection). For claim 13, the examiner explains how the examiner interprets the term "preference value" (see Gerace, Col. 2, Lines 10-67). For claim 21, the examiner explains how the examiner comes up with the motivation for combining two references (see Sezan, Col. 22, Lines 4-32).

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

*Philip Tran*  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
Examiner's signature, if required